

**BEM 103**  
**Introduction to Finance**  
**Fall 2001/2**  
**Homework 1**  
**Suggested Solutions**

5.1 From the formula

$$P_t = \frac{1}{(1 + r_t)^t},$$

solve for the  $t$ -period spot interest rate. This gives:  $r_1 = 0.05$ ,  $r_2 = 0.05$ ,  $r_3 = 0.06$ . So,

$$PV = 100(.95 + .90 + .85) = 149.$$

5.2 Monthly interest payments in the case of quarterly compounding are (per dollar principal):

$$[(1 + 0.10/4)^4 - 1]/12 = 0.00865,$$

which is less than in the case of annual compounding:

$$[(1 + 0.105) - 1]/12 = 0.00875.$$

So, the quarterly compounding is more advantageous.

5.5 Solve the following system for the vector of pure discount bond prices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1043.29 \\ 1220.78 \\ 995.09 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 & 1100 & 0 \\ 200 & 1200 & 0 \\ 80 & 80 & 1080 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} .9091 \\ .8658 \\ .7899 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find spot interest rates as in the first problem:  $r_1 = 0.100$ ,  $r_2 = 0.075$ ,  $r_3 = 0.082$ .

5.6 In two years, after it goes “ex dividend,” the stock is worth (see formula on top of p. 30):

$$PV(2) = \frac{10(1.02)(1.10)^2}{.10 - .02} = 154.28.$$

So, today’s stock price is

$$PV(0) = \frac{10(1.10)}{(1 + 0.10)} + \frac{10(1.10)^2 + 154.28}{(1 + 0.10)^2} = \frac{128.50}{1.10} = 116.82$$

5.8

$$PV = \frac{X_1}{1+r} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+g}{1+r}\right)^{t-1} = \frac{X_1}{1+r} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1+g}{1+r}} = \frac{X_1}{r-g}.$$

5.9 This annuity can be obtained as a portfolio of a long perpetuity starting now and a short perpetuity starting at  $T + 1$ . Hence,

$$PV = +\frac{X}{r} - \frac{1}{(1+r)^{T+1}} \frac{X}{r}.$$

5.12 (a) The amount needed now equals:  $55000 / (1 + 0.05)^4 = 45249$ .

(b) The payment  $A$  needed each year solves:

$$A[1.05 + 1.05^2 + 1.05^3 + 1.05^4] = 55000,$$

i.e.,  $A = 12153$ .

6.3 Strategy 1 (repeated 4 times):

$$PV = -47\left(1 + \frac{1}{1.1^2} + \frac{1}{1.1^4} + \frac{1}{1.1^6}\right) = -144.47.$$

Strategy 2 (repeated twice):

$$PV = -90\left(1 + \frac{1}{1.1^4}\right) = -151.47.$$

Strategy 3:

$$PV = -300.$$

Strategy 1 has the highest PV, so choose it.

6.5 Cash flows  $C_t$ :

$$C_0 = -240 - 60,$$

$$C_1 = C_2 = (200 - 100)(1 - 0.4) + \frac{240}{3}(0.4),$$

$$C_3 = C_2 + 40(1 - 0.4) + 60.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} NPV &= C_0 + C_1 \frac{1}{1.08} + C_2 \frac{1}{1.08^2} + C_3 \frac{1}{1.08^3} \\ &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

( $NPV$  is positive, so project is OK.)

6.6 Accounting break-even  $x$  solves the following equation:

$$-\frac{2000}{5} - 1500 + x(1.5 - 0.5) \stackrel{!}{=} 0.$$

Hence,  $x = 1900$ . In contrast, NPV break-even  $y$  solves the following. Cash flows  $C_t$  are (note use of accounting profits to compute taxes):

$$C_0 = -2000,$$

$$C_t = y(1.5 - 0.5) - 1500 - 0.34[(y(1.5 - 0.5) - 1500 - \frac{2000}{5})] = y(0.66) - 854,$$

$t = 1, 2, \dots, 5$ .  $y$  is the solution to:

$$-2000 + (1/1.16 + 1/1.16^2 + 1.16^3 + 1/1.16^4 + 1/1.16^5)(y(0.66) - 854) \stackrel{!}{=} 0.$$

Hence,  $y = 2219$ .

6.7 (a) Headache Pain Reliever:  $C_0 = -10.2$  (all numbers in million dollars); cash inflow at  $t=1, 2, 3$ :  $(4 - 1.50)5 = 12.5$ ; taxes:  $12.5 @ 34\% = 4.25$ ; tax “rebate” because of depreciation (straight-line):  $10.2/3 @ 34\% = 1.156$  (the tax “rebate” is a NOMINAL cash flow, so will have to be discounted at nominal rate!). Summary, split in real and nominal parts::

• Real cash flows:  $C_{r0} = -10.2$ ,  $C_{r1} = C_{r2} = C_{r3} = 8.25$ .

• Nominal cash flows:  $C_{n0} = 0$ ,  $C_{n1} = C_{n2} = C_{n3} = 1.156$

Real interest rate = 13%; nominal interest rate ;  $(13+5)\% = 18\%$

Altogether, discounting real cash flows at real rates and nominal ones at nominal rates (see below for a completely nominal treatment of the case):

$$\begin{aligned} NPV &= -10.2 + 8.25 \left( \frac{1}{1.13} + \frac{1}{1.13^2} + \frac{1}{1.13^3} \right) + 1.156 \left( \frac{1}{1.18} + \frac{1}{1.18^2} + \frac{1}{1.18^3} \right) \\ &= -10.2 + 8.25(2.3612) + 1.156(2.1743) \\ &= 11.793. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Broad pain reliever:  $C_0 = -12$ ; cash inflow at  $t = 1, 2, 3$ :  $(4 - 1.70)10 = 23$ ; taxes:  $23 @ 34\% = 7.82$ ; tax “rebate” on depreciation (again, NOMINAL):  $12/3 @ 34\% = 1.36$ ; salvage value: 1, taxed @ 34%

Summary, split in real and nominal parts:

• Real cash flows:  $C_{r0} = -12$ ,  $C_{r1} = C_{r2} = 15.18$ ;  $C_{r3} = 15.18 + 1(1 - 0.34) = 15.84$

• Nominal cash flows:  $C_{n0} = 0$ ,  $C_{n1} = C_{n2} = C_{n3} = 1.36$

Altogether,

$$\begin{aligned} NPV &= -12 + 15.18 \left( \frac{1}{1.13} + \frac{1}{1.13^2} \right) + 15.84 \frac{1}{1.13^3} + 1.36 \left( \frac{1}{1.18} + \frac{1}{1.18^2} + \frac{1}{1.18^3} \right) \\ &= -12 + 15.18(1.6681) + 15.84(0.6931) + 1.36(2.1743) \\ &= 27.258 \end{aligned}$$

Conclusion: go for (b)

**Note:** If you use nominal cash flows throughout, numbers change little. For the first project, the NPV becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} NPV &= -10.2 + 8.25 \left( \frac{1.05}{1.18} + \frac{1.05^2}{1.18^2} + \frac{1.05^3}{1.18^3} \right) + 1.156(2.1743) \\ &= 12.000 \end{aligned}$$

The difference is due to:  $\frac{1.05}{1.18} = \frac{1}{1.1238} \neq \frac{1}{1.13}$ .