

Problem 1.

- (a) In the RA code, for each information bit u , there are q repetition bits v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q that correspond to u in the block of encoded bits, where $v_i = u$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

We can draw a junction tree, whose local domains and local kernels are listed below:

Local Domain	Local Kernel
$\{u\}$	<i>a priori</i> probability of u : $\Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = \varepsilon\}$, for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$.
$\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$	$\chi(u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = v_1 = v_2 = \dots = v_q \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
$\{v_i\}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$	Probability observed from channel: $\Pr\{v_i = \varepsilon Y\}$ for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$

In the junction tree, there is an edge between $\{u\}$ and $\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$, and there is an edge between $\{u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\{u = \varepsilon | \text{evidence}\} \\ &= \left(\sum_{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q} \Pr\{v_1 | Y\} \Pr\{v_2 | Y\} \dots \Pr\{v_q | Y\} \chi(u = \varepsilon, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q) \right) \Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = \varepsilon\} \\ &= \Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = \varepsilon\} \prod_{i=1}^q \Pr\{v_i = \varepsilon | Y\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{\Pr\{u = 0 | \text{evidence}\}}{\Pr\{u = 1 | \text{evidence}\}} &= \log \frac{\Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = 0\} \prod_{i=1}^q \Pr\{v_i = 0 | Y\}}{\Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = 1\} \prod_{i=1}^q \Pr\{v_i = 1 | Y\}} \\ &= \log \frac{\Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = 0\}}{\Pr_{(a_priori)}\{u = 1\}} + \sum_{i=1}^q \log \frac{\Pr\{v_i = 0 | Y\}}{\Pr\{v_i = 1 | Y\}} = LLR_{(a_priori)} + \sum_{i=1}^q LLR_i \end{aligned}$$

And clearly

$$\log \frac{\Pr\{v_i = 0 | \text{evidence}\}}{\Pr\{v_i = 1 | \text{evidence}\}} = \log \frac{\Pr\{u = 0 | \text{evidence}\}}{\Pr\{u = 1 | \text{evidence}\}} = LLR_{(a_priori)} + \sum_{i=1}^q LLR_i \text{ since } v_i = u, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

- (b) By using the decoding rule in part (a), we get:

$$LLR_{u_1} = LLR_1^{(i)} + LLR_1^{(o)} + LLR_2^{(o)} + LLR_3^{(o)} = 0.4$$

$$LLR_{u_2} = LLR_2^{(i)} + LLR_4^{(o)} + LLR_5^{(o)} + LLR_6^{(o)} = -0.4$$

Problem2.

Omitted.

Problem3.

There are $(qk)!$ ways to place qk bits. However, permuting the q repetitions of any of the k information bits won't affect the result of the interleaving. So there are $\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k}$ ways for the interleaving.

I will give full score to anybody who gave $\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k}$ as the answer to this problem.

However, strictly speaking that answer is not correct. Notice that by permuting the k information bits we won't change the vector space of the code. So actually there are

$\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k k!}$ distinct (q, k) RAC codes. For example, let's say $q = 1$ and $k = 2$. Then

$\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k} = 2$, while $\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k k!} = 1$. There are two possible outputs of the interleaver, which are

(u_1, u_2) and (u_2, u_1) . It's easy to see those are actually the same codes since they have the

same vector space, and $\frac{(qk)!}{(q!)^k k!} = 1$ is the correct answer.