# Solutions to Homework Assignment 5

## Problem 1.

The *i*-th column of the parity check matrix of the (7,3) Abramson code has the form  $(x^i \mod g(x), 1)^T$ , where g(x) is a primitive polynomial of degree 3, i.e. is a generator polynomial for a cyclic (7,4) Hamming code. The syndrome of a burst with pattern 111 and location *i* is gotten by adding the *i*th, (i+1)st and (i+2)nd column of the parity check matrix. The syndrome therefore is given by  $((x^i + x^{i+1} + x^{i+2}) \mod g(x), 1)^T$ . Now we have

$$(x^{i} + x^{i+1} + x^{i+2}) \mod g(x) = x^{i}(1 + x + x^{2}) \mod g(x)$$

Note that the right hand side of this equation is nonzero, because g(x) is irreducible (property of a primitive polynomial) and is coprime with both  $x^i$  and  $1 + x + x^2$  (because it has degree less than the degree of g(x). But any nonzero vector of length 3 (or a polynomial of degree 2) is a power of x modulo g(x), say  $x^e \mod g(x)$ . But then the syndrome of the burst with pattern 111 and location i is the same as the syndrome of the burst with pattern 1 and location e.

Therefore every burst having pattern 111 has the syndrome as some burst with pattern 1.

#### Problem 2.

The Abramson bound gives  $r \ge \log_2(n+1) + b - 1 = b + 4$ . The Reiger bound gives  $r \ge 2b$ . It is easy to see that the Abramson bound is stronger for b < 4 and the Reiger bound is stronger for b > 4. At b = 4 the two bounds coincide.

#### Problem 3.

For b = 3, the Abramson bound gives  $r \ge 7$  and the Reiger bound gives  $r \ge 6$ . So together we have  $r \ge 7$ . However, from Table 8.1 in the handout, we see that the  $x^{31}+1$  has irreducible factors of degrees 1 and 5 only. Thus, it cannot have a factor of degree 7, i.e. there is no (31,24) cyclic code. Therefore for b = 3 there is no cyclic code that meets the bound of Problem 2.

### Problem 4.

The classical Fire codes have generator polynomial of the form  $g(x) = (x^{2b} + 1)f(x) = (x^{21} + 1)f(x)$ , since b = 11 in this case. Let degree f(x) be m, then  $n_0$ , the period of f(x), is at most  $2^m - 1$ , achieved when f(x) is primitive. Therefore the length of the code is the lcm of 2b - 1 and  $n_0$  (by the Corollary on Page 30 of the handout), which is at most  $21(2^m - 1)$ . The code has redundancy equal to the degree of g(x), which is m + 21. Therefore the dimension of the code is at most  $21(2^m - 1) - (m + 21)$ . We require that this is at least 100000, and the smallest m that satisfies this constraint happens to be m = 13.

Therefore we pick f(x) to be any primitive polynomial of degree 13 from Appendix A in Wicker, say  $f(x) = 1 + x + x^3 + x^4 + x^{13}$ . Then check that m > b and f(x) is not a divisor of  $x^{21} + 1$ . Hence by the Corollary on Page 30 of the handout, the code generated by  $g(x) = (x^{21} + 1)f(x)$  is a 11-burst error correcting code.

The code parameters are also given by the same Corollary. n is given by the lcm of 21 and  $2^m - 1$  which is 172011. r is 21 + m = 34, and therefore k = 171977, which is bigger than 100000, as required. Therefore we have a 11-burst correcting (172011,171977) code.

#### Problem 5(a).

By the Fire code construction,  $n_m$  is the lcm of 2b - 1 and  $2^m - 1$ , i.e. the lcm of 5 and  $2^m - 1$ . Now notice that the last digit of  $2^m$  cycles through the values 2, 4, 8, 6 periodically.  $2^m - 1$  is divisible by 5 only if the last digit of  $2^m$  is 6, and that happens only when m is a multiple of 4.

Therefore  $n_m = 2^m - 1$  when m is a multiple of 4, and  $5(2^m - 1)$  otherwise.

 $k_m = n_m - (m+5)$ . Therefore  $k_m = 2^m - m - 6$  when m is a multiple of 4, and  $5 \cdot 2^m - m - 10$  otherwise.

# Problem 5(b).

Actual redundancy  $r_m = m + 5$ . When m is a multiple of 4, the Abramson bound says  $r \ge \log_2(n+1) + (b-1) = \log_2(2^m) + 3 - 1 = m + 2$ . When m is not a multiple of 4, the Abramson bound for large m says  $r \ge \log_2(5(2^m - 1) + 1) + 3 - 1 = \log_2 5 + m + 2 = m + 4.32$ , i.e.  $r \ge m + 5$ . Therefore the Fire codes constructed meet the weak Abramson bound

when m is not a multiple of 4 in the limit of large m, and differ from it by 3, when m is a multiple of 4.